

special collections



douglas Library

queen's university at kingston

KINGSTON ONTARIO CANADA



LETTER

From the Reverend

Mr. Charles Leslie,

Concerning the

New SEPARATION.

The THIRD EDITION.



LONDON:

Printed: and Sold by J. Morphew, near Stationer's Hall. 1719.

special collections



douglas Library

queen's university at kingston

KINGSTON CONTARIO CANADA



Mr. LESLIES LETTER

To Mr. B____.

ABOUT

The New Separation.

DEAR SIR,



AST Post brought me yours of the 20th of last Month, wherein you desire to know iny Sentiments concerning the New Separation, and say

that others defire it too; as you likewise told me in yours of January 27th, which I delay'd answering, in daily Expectation of those Books you told me were Printed there upon the Subject, and that I should soon have them, but they are not yet come to my hands, and can say nothing to them till I see them.

A

Вит

BUT that I may not go upon Misinformations, which easily may be supposed at this distance, and by verbal Accounts of Travellers, I have three Preliminaries to be informed in, before we come to the Merits of the Cause.

1. Who made the Separation? Did they Separate from you, because you put Water in your Wine? Or did you Separate from them, because they did not?

2. By what Authority was this done?

3. I would be glad to know the Steps and Measures were taken, and the reafonable Methods pursued in the Determination of the Synod, or Major Number of the Episcopal College.

WHEN I have a Certain; and Clear Information of these three Facts, I shall be able to give my Sentiments the better

concerning the Points in dispute.

You say in yours of Fanuary 27. I can never discommend any Priest for reviving an Old primitive Practice. What of his own Head, without any Authority? and where such Practice was not necessary? Suppose any Priest now should revive the Love-Feasts, and Holy-Kiss at the Sacrament, and administer it after Supper, and not in the Morning:

and give it to Infants, and even to the Dead, which was forbidden in the third Council of Carthage, Can. 6. would you not discommend such a Priest? or if there were 20 of them, and 2 or 3 Bishops at the head of them, Who should break Communion with all, who should not Conform to them? You fay in the fame place, that the late Dean Hicks told you, that when he came to Barking Church he found the Use of mixing Water with the Wine, which was mixt in the Veflry, not at the Altar, to be the Usage there, which he found had been the practice of Dr Layfield in that Church, and he conti nued the same Manner of Celebration. But this made no Noife, Say you. No. Nor had it made any if it had been continued to this day, as they used it, that is, not to make it any Term of Communion, or force it upon others, as a thing Necessary, and Indispensible, and to refuse Communion with all other Churches (which were all in the Nation) who did celebrate the Community according to the Established Liturgy of the National Church. There is a Cafe like this, which you will and in Enfel. Hist. Eccles. 1. 5. c. 24. While the Contest about the Day of Enfler was agitated, A 2 St.

St. Polycarp Disciple of St. John the Apostle, who kept it according to the Jewish Computation, came to Rome, where it was kept on the Day now used in the Western Church, and discoursed the Matter with Fope Anicetus, and tho' neither could convince the other, yet they broke not Communion, or Friendship, but in Honour to Polycarp, Anicetus gave him the Confecrating of the Sacrament when he received with him in his own Church in Rome, and they parted in Christian Peace and Charity: but when furious Victor came in, he would give no Quarter, but fell to Excommunication prefently; and he would Communicate with none, who kept not his Day, nor Communicate with them who kept their own: Whence a terrible Schifm arose between the Eastern and Western Churches. And as you will fee Chap.
23, both Sides pleaded Apostolical Tradition: So that this was not so very certain a Rule, even in those Early Days.

Old primitive Practice, meerly of

it felf alone, has no weight.

I have named some Practices of Christ himfelf, and of the Apostles, which have been taken away and altered in all Christian Churches. And if any Bishop or Priest

should revive them, and make a Separation upon that account, he would be censured as a Schismatick by the Discipline of all the Churches in the World. And Discipline it self is at least as ancient, and more necessary for the being of a Church, than any of those Alterations, which are carry'd on upon the ruin of all Discipline. I know some sound Members of

the Church of England, who always use Unleavened Bread at the Sacrament, where it may be had, but without Noise, or making Separation for it. And it has more foundation in the Passover and Inflitution of the Sacrament, than mixing Water with the Wine, of which there is not the least Tittle, or Tendency in any of the Gospels, or other parts of the New Testament, where the Institution is particularly recited, as I Cor. xi. 23. Cor. I mention this the rather, because I had a Letter from a principal Man of the Separation, letting me know that they have other things to press, or wish for, but that at present no more is insisted upon. So that we know not where it will end, and we may have next day Unleavened Bread, and the literal washing one anothers Feet, and we know not what, imposed upon Us as Articles of Fairh

Faith, and necessary to Salvation; for we used to say, that less could not justify a Schism. Sed quantum mutati ab illis! Now every Priest may make a Schism, and tear the Body of Christ in pieces, and gather to himself, or Partners separate Congregations, opposite to the Communion of the Church, for every primitive Usage he can hear of, that has been lest off, (and he ought in Modesty to suppose) for wise, and good Reasons; at least to suspend his Schism, till these are fairly, and thoroughly canvassed.

The Aggressor is answerable for the Bloodshed, on both sides; but he exhorts whom he attacks to be sure not to strike again, because it is the second blow makes the Quarrel: And it must, and shall be his way, for he hates Contention; And speaks much of Peace, Union, Christian Love, and Charity; And therefore tells Us, in great Condescension, These are the Utmost Lengths for an Accommodation, we can offer, and which we earnestly desire may be received. And what are these Lengths? the first is, to disown that Liturgy to which they themselves have given their unseigned Assent, and Consent, and which has been Establish'd by all the Authority, Spiritual, and

and Temporal, in the Nation, and never to use it more, especially by no means the Communion Service, and that we have had no true Sacrament (except at Barkin) since the Reformation, for want of Water; and this by way of Bulwark against Popery; which tho it uses Water, yet thinks it not Necessary. But we have got beyond it: But you fay neither do they think Episcopacy Necessary. First, that is nothing to the Water. In the next place, none but Jesuits could tell you so; for indeed they were Enemies to Episcopacy ab Origine, and their General opposed it with his whole force at the Council of Trent, from whose Arguments our Protestant Jesuits the Presbyterians have borrowed their Artillery against it; but even that Council was too many for them, and forced the Pope (whose Battles they fought) to retreat with the best Face he could: And at this day (as well as formerly) the Right of the Episcopat is afferted strongly in France against the Encroachments of the Pope's Supremacy, and his present Conflictation. Therefore trust not the Jesuits representation even of Popery, far less of Morality (Provincial Letters) or common Christianity. OLD

OLD Mr. Edw. Stephens, whom you mention, I knew right well, he came often to me, and I took him to be an honefr well-meaning Man of great Zeal, but weak Judgment, fome thought him mad. He was enamour'd of Primitive Usage, right or wrong, a Flea leapt out of that Dust-Basket, which he died pursuing. He had heard that long ago, in times of hot Persecution, some Zealous Christians Communicated every day, not being fure of their Lives fo long: And when they wandred thro' Woods and Defarts, they could carry a bit of Bread in their Pocket, when they could not carry Wine, they thought better to Communicate in one Kind than to lose both: a weak and fuperstitious Thought, no doubt: but the Imitation of it in times of Peace and Quietness, and to make the Practice of it Necessary and Universal, then, was most Ridiculous and Wicked; yet this was the Ground of the Daily and Solitary Maffes enjoined on the Priest, and of taking the Cup from the Laity for ever. But the daily Communion struck Mr. Stephens's Fancy. Oh what a brave thing it would be to have Christians so prepared, so abftracted from the World, and difintangled from the Cares of it as well as from all Sin

Sin and Wickedness, to be always in fuch a Disposition and Frame of Mind as is required in those who come to the Holy Sacrament, with their Loyns girt, and their Lamps trimmed ready to meet the Bridegroom, at least in the Sa-

crament every day.

I remember I once discoursed this point with him at large; we were near the Royal-Exchange, into which I could look out of my Chamber Window, and I took my Topick from thence, seeing the Merchants running with great Earnestness into the Coffee-houses and Taverns to drive their Bargains, and I asked him whether he thought that fuch generally speaking, did not need some time of recollection and trimming the Lamp, before they approach'd the Holy Sacrament. And if daily Communion to fuch would not bring them into a Contempt, at least a less regard than was fitting of that Holy Ordinance, instead of encreasing their Esteem and Reverence for it; While they found themselves obliged to run from the Sacrament to the Tavern, or from the Tavern to the Sacrament; or otherwise neglect their Vocation and providing for their Families? which St. Paul pronounceth to be denying the Faith, and В worfe

worse than Insidelity. I Tim. v. 8. And Men may run into Superstition upon the Sacrament, as the Jews did upon the Sabbath; and as Christ said of the Sabbath, so may it be said of the Sacrament, that it was made for Man and not Man for it, and therefore that it does not interfere with Common Offices of Life.

You say in yours of Jan. 27. About 30 years my good and pious Friend, Mr. Edw. Stephens, set up the Practice of primitive and daily Celebration of the Christran Sacrifice; with an Emendation of the Liturgy: this he did without setting up a Principle of Separation from the Church, but only to mend and reform it; and for this he had the Encouragement and Prayers of all good Men, and a very comfortable Congregation of Communicants, that is, he fet up a separate Congregation, but not upon a Principle of Separation. He is the Father of the New Separation, and they have not the Honour to have first Mended and Reformed the Liturgy. And his Fate may be a warning to them, for he had a Mind to be of fome Church or Communion belides his own, and for that purpose he went to the Chaplains of a Popish Ambassador in London (I think it was of Portugal)

and defired to be admitted into the Communion of Rome, but they would not receive him; unless he would come up to their Terms, they would not go down to his, thinking him an Enthusiast: he told me again with Joy when a Grecian Arch-bishop of *Philippopoli* was in *England*, that he would try to be admitted by him into the Communion of the Greek Church, which struck his Fancy mightily, but as he told me afterwards, he tryed it, but was refused there too. And so lived a Seeker. And his Disciples of the New Separation are feeking still; for as I told you, they have more things to wish for, and we may expect will come out in the Commanding Strain, if what they have let go already meet with Suc-cess, for there is no stop in the Art of Imagination: nor can it want Fuel, while there is an old Book in the World that tells of Ancient Usages, and that we think our selves obliged to revive them all as necessary to Salvation, and then they are Articles of Faith, and it is a Sin not to observe them: and nothing can justify making a Schism in the Church but to avoid fomething that is finful.

This has been a received Principle among Us, and warrants our Separation

B₂ from

from Rome, and condemns that of the Dif-

fenters from Us.

BUT this justifiable Cause of Separation must be proved to be a Sin by clear and undoubted Authority, which the Scriptures only are. The Fathers pretended not to it, but wrote as we do, proving what they faid from Scripture, and some of the greatest of them have wrote Retractations of their own Works. 2ly. We are not fure of their Works, for many spurious Books have been put out in their Names, and passed as theirs for a long time; many of which have heen detected by the Labours of the Learned in these later Ages, but we cannot fay, we are fure, that none remain, for they were found out, not all at once, but by degrees, and from time to time, and by intervening Accidents.

3ly. The Court of the Index at Rome assumes openly the Authority to mend and reform them, to put out, and put in what they think fit, to make them peak the modern Language, and are for little ashamed of it, that they have printed Volumes of these their Emendations of the Fathers, but we may be sure not all. Therefore no Word, Sentence, or Paragraph in any of the Fathers which have

have past thro' these Hucksters hands, can be depended upon as certain and indubitable, or can admit of any Argument beyond that of Probability: Which is too weak to build Articles of Faith upon, or determine the Necessaries to Salvation. If you fay, this takes away the whole use of the Fathers. No, there are many excellent and instructive things in them; but we must refer them, as they referred themselves, to the Holy Scriptures, as the only sure Rule. Thus St. Paul referred himself, be ye followers of me, as I am of Christ, and no surther does he desire. May we not then say the same to the Fathers? fame to the Fathers? And of all I'rimitive Usages not warranted in Scripture? The communicating Infants in the Eucharist is a very ancient Usage, and is continued to this day in the Greek Church, as you may see in the Letter of Feremy, Patriarch of Constantinople to the Lutherans, in du Pin's History of the Seventeenth Century. It is likewife used in the Church of the Abyssines and Cophtits of Egypt. And Baptizing for the Dead, has more Countenance in Scripture (1 Cor. xv. 29.) than Praying or making Offerings for them, has longer Tradition, and more Primitive Usage. And you may

may gratify some fanciful Friend of the Separation with letting him know that in the Greek Church they always warm the Water they mix with the Wine (and some say they mix it not till after the Confecration) and is not this curious? for the Water that is mixed with the Blood in an Human Body is certainly warm, and more nearly represents what came out of our Saviour's side. This may be kept in Petto, and wished for, till a proper time arise to make it the Ground of a New Separation.

BLESSED God! whither do we wander? having forsaken the Fountain of living Water, the fure Word of God for the Rule of our Faith, we have hewed out to our selves broken Cisterns that can hold no Water, Jer. 2. 13. the Customs and Traditions of Men. How rightly has St. Augustine judged, as if he had been speaking of our present Case, and to the new Separation, to bring them back again to the Holy Scriptures, Quicquid inde audieritis hoc vobis bene sapiat : quicquid extra est, Respuite, ne erretis in Nebulâ. (de Pastor. cap. 11.) And he fays (Ep. 42.) That the Divine Scripture is not filent concerning those things which belong to true Religion, qua tamen pertinent ad veram Religionem quærendam & tenendam, divina

divina Scriptura non tacuit. He was speaking of the Tradition which has defcended down to Us of Actions done in former times, but he excepts that from being the Rule as to Religion, but the Scripture only, and wholly, because it is not silent in any thing pertaining to that, but contains plainly all things relating to Faith and Morals. In its quæ aperte in Scriptura posita sunt, inveniuntur illa omnia quæ continent Fidem morefque vivendi. (de Doetrin. Christian. 1. 2. c. 9.) Oh! That it should be needful to quote any thing of this fort against a new Separation of some among our selves, who have fo long fuffered and fought with Us for the Holy Scriptures, the Church and the Constitution, against the Traditions of Rome, the Fund of all their Errors, as they were to the Jews, and made the Scriptures of none effect.

To understand which rightly, we must take care to distinguish betwixt the Letter and the Spirit, as the Scripture it self does distinguish: And that as well in the New Testament as the Old, as St. Paul says, 2 Cor. iii. 6. God hath made Us able Ministers of ibe New Testament, not of the Letter, but of the Spirit: for the Letter killeth, but the Spirit giveth Life:

the outward Institutions are the Letter, which were ordained to point out to Us the Inward and Spiritual things reprefented and exhibited by them, as Letters express in writing what they fignify. But when Men stuck to the Opus Operation of performing the Letter of the outward Institutions, and trusted in that, then were they Dung and Abominations before God, Mal.ii. 3. and hateful to Him. Ifai. i. 14. Nay, he denyed that he had commanded them. fer. vii. 22. And when the Jews took Literally what Christ said concerning their Eating his Flesh and Drinking his Blood, he set them right, and instructed them in the true meaning of it, faying, It is the Spirit that quickneth, the Flesh profiteth nothing: the Words that I speak unto you, they are Spirit, and they are Life, Joh. vi. 63. But there never was so fatal a stick. ing to the Letter as in this Cafe, that the Hosia or Bread of the Sacrament should be worshipped, not as a Symbole; Figure, or Representation of Christ, but as very God it felf, and the Worship to terminate in it, and not to be relative or referring to any thing else. This is a knowing Christ still after the Flesh, which the Apostle reproves, 2 Cor. v. 16. What then

then is our Dispute about mixing a little Water with the Wine, which has nothing of the Spirit, nor even of the Letter of the Golpel to warrant it? But against both some will follow an Ancient Usage (of which we cannot be fure for the Reasons before) which might have been in some places, and not in others, as it is at this day, and yet no breach of Communion upon that account, which never happened till this new Separation. But the Truth, the Reality is in the Spirit, which is only figured in the outward Institutions: for the Law is Spiritual, and he is not a few, who is one outward-ly; neither is that Circumcifion, which is outwardin the Flesh: but he is a few, who is one inwardly; and Circumcifion is that of the Heart, in the Spirit, and not in the Letter, whose Praise is not of Men but of God, Rom. ii, 28, 29. and Chap. vii. 14. and Baptism is called a Figure, not the putting away the filth of the Flesh, but the answer of a good Conscience towards God, 1 Pet. iii. 21. Not the outward Baptism or Washing of the Skin, but the Inward Raptism or Clean-sing of the Heart of which the outward Baptism is but a Figure. And as the outward Sacraments of the Law and the Gospel

Gospel, Circumcision and Baptism, were not Instituted for their own sake, but to denote the Inward and Spiritual meaning of them; and as the Sacrifices under the Law were only Typical of the on-ly true and real Sacrifice of Christ which alone could take away Sin: fo the Institution of the Lord's Supper was Figurative too, of the Spiritual Nourishment of our Souls by Faith in what he did and fuffered for us in the Flesh, as our Bodies are nourished by corporeal Food, as of Bread and Wine, which is the Benesit our Catechism teaches whereof we are partakers in this Sacrament. And if God has ordain'd outward things as means whereby we receive Spiritual Benefits, then ought they with Reverence to be attended, and not as the Quakers do, lay them quite aside, nay to vilify and fourn at them; God will not suffer his own Institutions to be despised.

The first Sin was the breach of an outward Institution in Eating the forbidden Fruit. God commanded the Man to be stoned who brake the Sabbath: and sought to kill Moses for neglecting to Circumcife his Son: He struck Uzzab dead upon the Spot for putting his hand to the Ark out of his own Order: and smote $U\bar{z}$ -

ziah with Leprosie for invading the Priests Office: Fire came out from the Lord, and confumed 250 Levites, who mutinied against Aaron the High Priest; sand he created a New thing and caused the Earth to open her Mouth and swallow Corab, Dathan and Abiram, with their Wives, Children, and all that appertained to them, alive into the Pit, for their Rebellion against Moses: He flew 50000 and 70 Beth/hemites for looking into the Ark, the outward Ark made of Wood, of little value in it felf; but God will have his outward Institutions to be regarded, because they are his, and by this we shew our Reverence to him who commanded them.

And yet they are but the Letter or outward Body of Religion, the Inward and Spiritual part to which they refer is the Soul of Religion: and as the Separation of these in the Natural Man is Death, so when the Spirit and Soul of Religion is departed, it is quite Dead, and the Letter or Body of it is without Life, and a loathsome Carcass of Religion in the fight of God: therefore we must preserve the outward for the sake of the Inward, if we separate them, it is Death.

Yet this hinders not giving the Prefe-

rence by much to the Inward, to the Soul above the Eody, to the Spirit above the Letter. And we need not be afraid that this will cool or lessen our Devotion at the Sacrament. No on the contrary, it will raise and exalt it, for the more Spiritually we understand this Ordinance, we shall know it more truly, and approach it with greater Reverence and Attention; not feeding on the Shell instead of the Kernel, yet preserving the Shell with Care, as knowing that without the Shell

the Kernel would not grow.

While we are in the Body, the Religion fitted for our Use is to consist of an Outward and Inward part, for it is our reasonable Service to present our Bodies, a living Sacrifice; boly, acceptable unto God. Rom. xii. 1. but the Reason is only in the Soul, which may present the Body, by which it becomes acceptable to God, but the Body cannot present the Soul, which is not at its Command, for it prosteth nothing of it self, nor otherwise than as it is acted by the Soul. Thus it is said of Christ, Heb. ix. 14. who through the Eternal Spirit offered Himself without Spot to God. The Merit was in the Spirit, without which the Flesh had prosited nothing, even the same Spirit which Conceived Him in the Womb and wrought

Miracles by him, and raised Him again from the Dead, and ever liveth in Him to make Intercession for Us.

This Faith is the Food of Souls, and nourisheth Us unto Eternal Life: and in allusion to this Christ calls Himself the true Bread and Manna, and fays, except ye Eat the Flesh of the Son of Man, and Drink his Blood, ye have no Life in you. Which He after explains to be all Spiritually meant, foh. vi. 63. but some taking it Literally, have brought in Communicating information and over the Dead of the Post and over the Post ting Infants and even the Dead, and all the Monstrous and Unworthy Cases in the Rubrick of the Mass; de Defectibus in Celebratione Missarum occurrentibus, where de defeciu vini, it is faid, that not to mix Water with it, is indeed a fault, but yet hinders not the Confecration, and that notwithstanding there be no Water with the Wine. Conficitur Sacramentum, it is a true Sacrament.

Now if I am not misinform'd (I hope I am) the New Separation will not allow even of this, but make the Water so Absolutely and Essentially Necessary, that without it ther is no Sacrament at all, and so we have had none ever since the Reformation. If this be so, they are greater Enemics to the Church of England, and at greater distance

stance from it, than Rome it self, and have need to be reformed back again to Popery! before they can pretend to be of the Church of England, or any part of the Reformation, or of any Christian Church, till they can shew one which dissolves the Sacrament for want of Water; or would admit them as Members upon these Principles; as well as assuming an Authority to mend and reform their Liturgies, (any two or three Bi-shops or Priests who please.) Is there any Church in the World, or any private Club of Men, who would admit fuch turbulent Members, as pretend a right to overturn all Discipline, Rule or Order, however fettled, or for how long time soever continued! My Heart is grieved while I write this, but I hope your next will revive me, and let me know that I have been happily deceived in all these Facts which have been told me.

And that none will be found among you who will not submit to the Apostles Rule, un pegrar, not to be wife above that which is written, I Cor. iv. 6. and he gives the Cause for it, their being puffed up one against another; for Pride is the Foundation of all Heresies. Therefore, I say, (adds St. Paul) to every Man that is among you, not to think

of himself more bighly than he ought to think; but to think saberly, Rom. xii. 3. This Humility and Sobriety of thinking would call to our Mind, in the present Dispute, that which is written, Add thou not unto his words, lest he reprove thee, and thou he found a Lyar. Prov. xxx. 6.

Who then are they that have added Water to the Wine, and made that Effential to Salvation, of which Christ spoke not one Word, and all the Scriptures are silent? Silent in Essentials! and where that filence is a Prohibition, it being the frequent stile of God in Scripture, which I commanded not, being equivalent to which Nadab and Abibu offered, for which there went out Fire from the Lord, and devoired them, Lev. x. 1, 2. And why was it strange fire? because God had not commanded it. And why may we not worship the Host of Heaven? because, says God, it is that which I have not commanded. And why may we not facrifice our Children? because, says he, it is that which I commanded not, neither came it into my heart, Jer. vii. 31. And again, Which I commanded not, nor Spake it, neither came it into my Mind, Chap. xix. 5. What comes into his Mind he Speaks fpeaks in his Holy Scriptures, that is, as much of his Mind as he is pleased we should know, and be obliged to follow. But in what they are silent, and keep secret from us, we are not to inquire after, it belongs not to us, as it is said, the Secret things belong unto the Lord our God, but those things which are Reveal'd (in the Scriptures) belong unto Us, and to our Children for ever, that we may do all the Words of this Law, Deut. xxix: 29. Here was their whole Duty, and they were not to Add to it or diminish from it, Chap. iv. 2: Which shews the Rule to be compleat and perfect.

Now if it had come into the Mind of Christ to have Water mixed with the Wine in the Sacrament, especially if he had thought it Essentially necessary to Salvation ther can be no Doubt but he would have spoke of it; whereas now it is a thing which he has not Commanded, and therefore, in the Language of Scripture, has forbidden. At least if we be in an Error for this, it is certain we err on the safer side, while we precisely follow what he has Commanded; and they are in the greater Danger who have made a new Rule of Faith to themselves

felves, and come under the Censure of those who follow that which he has not Commanded.

THESE make more things Necessary to Salvation than God has made, which has been the great Disturbance of the Church in all Ages, while fanciful Men who are fond of their own Imaginations, or of others before them, and proud of their Discoveries are not afraid, rather than they should fail, to Mend and Reform not only our Liturgy, but the Scripture it self, and deny it to be a Certain Rule to Us.

AND may it not be a Confideration to the Separatist to think what it is for which they Divide from their Brethren, and run into the certain Sin of Schism: for what end of Religion is served by mixing Water with the Wine? Does it make us more Wise or Holy? What one Benefit is it, either for Soul or Body, of which we are made partakers thereby: by taking Wine with Water?

How has Christ's Unum Necessarium, the one thing needful been multiply'd, D by

by the Inventions of Men, into vall Numbers of things, not only made Needful but Necessary? whence we become careful and troubled about many things, Luke x. 42. And he who can find out more Necessaries thinks himself an able Minister of the New Testament, but it is of the Letter that killeth, even without the Letter! which is properly Superstition, making things Necessary which God made not so, nor spake, nor came into bis Mind. And whether is it casier to suppose that Christ neglected or forgot, or on purpose, conceal'd what was Necessary and Essential to our Salvation: or that Justin or Cyprian, or who else you please, might mistake, or their Works be corrupted by those who profess the Trade, and had them so many Years in their hands, with full Leisure and Power and Inclination to do it? Produce any Book of any Father which can shew those 8 Evidences for its being True and Genuine, which are given in Christianity Demonstrated for the Holy Bible. And till this be done, bring no more Fathers or Ancient Usages to corrupt the Scriptures by adding to them.
And tho' the Name of the whole

And tho' the Name of the whole Catholick Church is often given by par-

ticular Churches for Customs and Usa: ges of their own and neighbouring Churches, yet we must consider that this is next to impossible to know, especially in the early Ages when Navigation and Commerce were not so extended as now, that we may reasonably suppose ther were then feveral Christian Churches which never had heard of one another, how then could these Fathers know the particular Customs and Usages of all these Churches? unless Angels were the Couriers, or a New Revelation given! And now even when we are much better acquainted with foreign Churches than the Ancients were or could be, how imperfect are our Accounts? Travellers differing in them. We hear of Christian Churches at this day where the Ufage of Circumcision prevails, even of Women too, which no doubt they think necessary, else they would not do it, and they have their own Tradition for it, and old Books to support it. Which the Separation (as I am told) are now come to make Equal to the written word of God. And the only part of their Tradition as to the fruit of the Vine, meaning Wine mixed with Water, and the fruit of the Tree, meaning pure Wine, D ?

is taken from the Talmud, the most lying, fenseless and blasphemous Book ever written, as Mr. Collier gives Account in his Dictionary: It was wrote by the Jews about the middle of the Fifth Century after Christ, who were his most spightful Enemies, and would not fail to do every thing they could to consound and distract the Christians: even by imposing upon them as to their own Usages and Phraseologies; as Abendana the Jew bit several of the Sages in Oxford, which they found out afterwards.

But suppose these had been in good earnest Jewish Traditions, and of long standing even before Christ came, he has condemned them all as a false Foundation of Faith or Doctrine, and a Worshipping God in vain, teaching for Doctrines the Commandments of Men---full well ye reject the Commandments of God, that ye may keep your own Tradition, Mark vii, 8, 9. 13. And this operates as strongly against Christian as Jewish Tradition: And to get rid of this Unexceptionable Authority against it, the Papists go to their Dictionaries, and finding that Trado signifies to give or deliver, they make every thing a Tradition that is delivered by Word or by Writing, or any other

way; like the Apothecary, who mistook a Wheel-barrow for the Vehicle in which he was ordered to give his Physick.

But every Body knows that Tradition, in the present Dispute, respects the Time as well as the Conveyance; for the Jews derived their Oral Traditions from Moses as the Church of Rome from Christ or the Apostles; to be deposited with the Priests or Elders, and convey'd down by Word of mouth: therefore these are called the Traditions of the Elders, against which our Saviour inveigh'd fo feverely, and St. Peter tells them of their vain Conversation, received by Tradition from their Fathers, 1 Pet. i. 18. And St. Paul describes the Jews Religion to confist chiefly in being exceedingly Zealous of the Traditions of their Fathers, Gal.
i. 14. These are not the Traditions or Ordinances which he had delivered to them, whether by Word or Writing,
1 Cor.xi. ii. and 2 Thes. ii. 15. because these had cast no descent, nor had they received them from their Fathers, and nothing else is called Tradition in our present Dispute, nothing but what is old and come down to Us for many Generations: Nothing is called Tradition, in this fense, at its beginning, or

or during the Life of him who first fet it up: if we hear a Man preach a new Doctrine, we call it his Doctrine, but not his Traditions: And if we should call it Tradition (according to the Etymology of the Word) because it was delivered in fuch a Sermon or Discourse, and apply this as a proof of the Ancient Traditions received from our Fathers of a long time before we were born, it would be fo senseless a Prevarication, and childish playing with Words as could deceive no Man of common Sense: And therefore we may be fure that the Apostle when he fpoke of the Traditions he himself deliverd, he did not mean those old Traditions of the Fathers about which only is our Dispute.

AND yet this is all the Countenance from Scripture which Rome or her Disciples of the New Separation have to plead for their Tradition: And for this Reason they have, both of them, rejected the Scriptures from being a Rule.

BUT the Separation has far exceeded; for neither the Church of the Jews,

nor that of Rome at this day, stretch their Traditions further than as Comments or Interpretations of Scripture, and therefore must have a Foundation in Scripture: Thus the Traditions of Corban was brought as an Interpretation of the fifth Commandment, tho' it made it of none Effect, Mark vii. 11, 12, 13. And many fuch like things they did, as the Church of Rome after them. But yet she had the Modesty not to Impose her Traditional adding Water to the Wine as a thing Necessary, but has declared the Sacrament to be valid without it: Whereas the Separation has advanced further, and made it Necessary and Absolutely so, and the want of it to null the Sacrament: thinking by this Rigour to frighten at least Women and Children into their Party, as the Romans do by threatning Damnation to all others! which shews only their own utter want of Charity, which is greater than Faith it self, (I Cor. xiii. 13.) And without which there can be no true Faith. and consequently no true Church: And the Separation has gone further in this than Rome it felf, by making other things necessary to Salvation which Rome has not done, and given Tradition a larger fcope.

fcope. So that in every thing wherein the Church of *Rome* has rendred her felf most odious, this *New Separation* has made themselves more so.

Bellarmin struggles hard for the Traditions of the Fews, which our Saviour reprehended, and fays in Answer (de verbo Dei non feripte, lib.vi. c. x.) That Christ did not reprehend the Traditions of Mofes, and that Christ did not say they were the Traditions of Moses. No, he knew they were not, but the Church of the Jews called them fo, and as fuch deliver'd them to the People; And he fays, hie damnantur Traditiones----Here those Traditions are condemned, which the Jews boasted to have received from Moses and the Prophets. But he supposes those Traditions which our Saviour condemned to be those only which were made by fome of their Rabbies, paulò ante Ortum Salvatoris, a little while before the coming of Christ, and initium habuisse, to have had no earlier a beginning: but of this he offers no fort of Proof: And if these Traditions had begun but a little time before our Saviour, it is more than probable their beginning might have been remembred, and then they would not have been

been call'd the Traditions of the Elders, received from their Fathers, nor would they have boafted that they came from Moses and the Prophets: or will any grant that such New-coyned Traditions could be put upon the people as received from the very beginning of their Religion? If this can be supposed, it will render all Tradition Uncertain, and we know not when it deceives us. Bellarmin was forced to this vain Supposition to save the Infallibility of the Church, then only with the Jews, or if he could not quite save it, yet to make her Fallibility continue as short a a time as he could; not considering that the Pretence to Infallibility failing but one day, falls for good and all; And his own Supposition, altogether groundless, makes the Error to have continued so long as to gain the Name of Tradition, and its beginning to be forgot. But our Saviour makes it plain past answering, when reprehending these Traditions, Matt. xv. 7. He quotes I-faiab for them, who was more than paulo ante, a little while before our Saviour; and Isaiab in the place quoted, Chap. xxix. 13 speaks of these Traditions as of long standing before him, position to save the Infallibility of the \mathbf{E}

and calls them *Precepts of Men*, which therefore could not be the Cround of our Faith, nor ought to be taught as *Doctrines* of God, for thus our Saviour

applies it.

Bellarmin (ibid.cap.xi.) fets down an Objection against their beloved topick of making the Preaching of Christ and the Apostles to be Tradition in their own time, it is from Irenaus. (lib. 3. c. 1.) where he fays, Non enim per alios---That we have not known the Œconomy of our Salvation from any others than those by whom the Gospel is come to us. And that what they (the Apostles) then preached, they afterwards, by the Will of God, gave to us in Writing, to be the Foundation and Pillar of our Faith: to which fays Bellarmin, cum Irenæus ait---When Irenæus fars, that the Apostles wrote what they preached in the World, is true, but not against Traditions, because they did not preach to the People all things, but only those things which were Necessary or Useful to them. (Quæ illis Necessaria vel Utilia erant) but other things they delivered apart to those that were more perfect: About which we are not concern'd, while all things Necessary or Useful to us are contained in the Scripture

ture, without recourse to Traditions. Illa Omnia, as St. Augustine says, all those things which relate to Faith or Manners, that is, fays bellurmin, (ibid.) those things which are Necessary to all, such as are contain'd in the Apossels Creed, and the Decalogue. To which small compass all Necessaries are reduced by Bellarmin. I suppose he meant things Absolutely so, that without them we cannot be saved. Which the Sacraments themselves are not, as our Catechism cautiously words it, that they are Generally Necessary, but not so that if any Man were in such Circunstances that he could not possibly have them, suppole for his whole Life, his Soul thould be lost for what was not in his power to help. God forbid! The outward Inflitution it felf is but a Figure of the True, which is the Spirit, that only giveth Life.

Therefore it is declared in the Rubick of the Communion of the Sick, that if through any just Impediment the Sick do not receive the Sacrament of Christ's Ecdy and I lood, the Curate shall instruct him, that if he do truly Repent him of his sins, and Steadfastly Eelieve that Jesus Christ hath suffered upon the Cross for him, and shed his

Blood for his Redemption, earneftly remembring the Benefits he hath thereby, he doth Eat and Drink the Body and Blood of our Saviour Christ profitably to his Souls health, altho' he do not receive the Sacrament with his Mouth. But this I hear is expunged in the Communion Office printed by the Separation; it was too Spiritual for them, and led Mens Thoughts too much to the true Intent and Meaning of the Institution, and which only makes it acceptable to God, and without which it is Dung and Abomination in his sight,

a dead Carcass of Religion.

And yet these Ministers of the Letter will not slick to the Letter, but add to it from some Old Traditions: and make these Necessary, absolutely Necessary, to our Salvation! And where Christ speaks of Spiritual Eating or Nourishment, Joh. vi. 53. and tells us so, ver. 63. yet some will take it according to the Letter, and thence infer that this is necessary to Infants, who cannot eat Bread, and even as Nourishment to the Dead. Which Practice was forbid, and therefor continued, within a very sew years of the beginning of the Fourth Century; for then the Third Council of Car-

Carthage sat, and they would not forbid a Practice, if ther had been no such Practice. And I observe that the Council discharged this Practice without any regard to the long Tradition upon which it stood, but purely upon the Reason of the thing; for this is the Reason given, Can. 6. Distum est enim ----for the Lord said, Take and Eat, but a dead Carcass can neither take nor Eat. I think the Reason very good, and sufficient to abolish that or any other Tradition: but now neither Sense nor Reason, nor the very Letter of the Scripture it self can hold its Ground against Tradition, but we may add to it, or take from it, to make it square neatly with our Tradition.

I am told (pray let me know the truth of it) that the New Separation have shewed a Disposition to revive the Old Tradition of Communicating Infants in the Eucharist; and then they can easily make it Necessary! and send us new Cargoes from time to time, which we have warning to expect, and we shall never have done, for Tradition is a botton less Well. And if Tradition without Scripture can make Necessaries,

it will make our way to Heaven very difficult, as to the Number of Necessaries: and very doubtful about the Choice of Traditions; for some Churches have left off what others retain, and as the Church of Rome her self confesses, it is not three or sour Fathers, but the Concurrent Testimony of all the Fathers can be call'd the Tradition of the Church,

THE necessary and acceptable Worfhip is in Spirit and in Truth, the Flesh, that is the Letter, profiteth nothing, but our jangling is not only about the Letter, but the Circumstances, the Fringes, of that Letter, the Keaua or Mixture of Wine and Water, which is of little Moment, and not mention'd at all in Scripture, yet now is made Necessary and Indispensible! Thus the Galatians turned from the Spirit to the Letter, they would have the outward Circumcision revived; which St. Paul told them availed nothing one way or other, whether they had it or had it not, for it was but the Letter, and a Figure or Representation only of that which was on-ly Necessary: And as many as walk according to THIS Rule, Peace be on them,

and Mercy, and upon the Ifrael of God, Gal. vi. 15, 16. O toolish Galatians, who hath bewitched you, that you should not obey the Truth! the Reality, the One thing Needful; but are careful and troubled about many things which are not Needful, the Shadows and Figures of the Unum Necessarium, which is Faith n Christ, and that is Wholly Spiricual, and all Within, tho' it be expressed in outward Representations in the Fless: but having begun in the Spirit, are ye now made perfect by the Fless? Have ye suffered so Many things in vain? if it be yet in vain, Gal. iii. 1. 3, 4.









